UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,833	01/11/2005	Takashi Kawakami	261638US6PCT	7215	
22850 7590 12/17/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			SCHWARTZ, DARREN B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2435		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/17/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/519,833	KAWAKAMI, TAKAS	SHI	
Examiner	Art Unit		
DARREN SCHWARTZ	2435		

	B/ (((E (C C I I V) ((C E	2400	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ	s the
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	(f). con which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ktension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension nally set in the final Office action; or	fee n fee (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months of the dat	e of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. S	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a _ non-allowable claim(s). 	·		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an explanation	of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails to provide ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	еа
10.	on of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attached.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant's arguments are directed to the amended claimant and the control of the			e:
performed. 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435	/DARREN SCHWARTZ Examiner, Art Unit 2435	2/	